••••••
AT

TECHNICAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE REPORT

Date

CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction 1.1 Scope of works 1.2 Composition of the Technical Evaluation Committee	4 4 4
2.0	Bidding stage 2.1 Invitation of bids 2.2 Issue of bidding documents 2.3 Pre- bid meeting 2.4 Addendums 2.5 Receipt and opening of Bids	5 5 5 5 5 5 5
3.0	Evaluation of bids 3.1 Preliminary bid examination 3.2 Detailed evaluation- Step 1 3.3 Detailed evaluation- Step 2	6 6 9 10
4.0	TEC Recommendations	13

List of Tables

Table 1	Summary of preliminary bid examination
Table 2	Summary of bid prices after conducting arithmetical check
Table 3	Results of detailed evaluation- Step 2
Table 4	Bidders ranks

Annexures

1. **Introduction**

5.

1.1 Name of the Contract &Scope of works	
Name of the Contract:	
Scope of works under the contract includes construction	
1.2Composition of the Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC)	
Following officers have been appointed by the Director of building Technical EvaluationCommittee.	s, toserve in the
1.	Chairman
2. 3.	Member Member
4.	Member
5	Member

2.0 Bidding Stage

	2.1	Invitation of Bids Bids were called by an open invitation published in three daily news papers "Ceylon Daily News" on, "Dinamina" on in "Thinakaran"
	2.2	Issue of Bidding documents
		Bidding documents were issued fromtoat
	2.3	Pre bid Meeting
	2.4	Addenda Issued
2.5	<u>Open</u>	ing of Bids
		The Bidding was closed on
		opening committee appointed by the Procurement Committee.
		1. Chairman 2. Member 3 Member
		All the bids received before the closing time, were opened by the Bid Opening Committee in the presence of Representatives of the Bidders. The attendance sheet of those present at the Bid Opening is given in format of Bid opening minutes.

No late bids.

Rev. No. 00

DOI: 2018.02.01

3.0 Evaluation of Bids

3.1 Preliminary Bid Examination

Preliminary examination (check on documents submitted) was conducted on following and findings of this examination are presented in Table 1.

- 3.1.1 Whether the bidder was blacklisted
- 3.1.2 CIDA (successor to ICTAD) Grade & Registration, expiry date
- 3.1.3 Completeness of the Form of Bid; inclusion of bid price, bid validity, Signing of the Form of Bid Inclusion of bid amount.
- 3.1.4 Completeness of the Bid Security; value, Institution, format and validity period.
 - 3.1.5 Form of bid- Completeness and signature
 - 3.1.6. Business registration within western province

Following discrepancies were observed on the form of bids submitted by following bidders.

Table 1. Summary of Preliminary Bid Examination

No	Name of Bidder			stern		ı	orm of	Bid			Bid Sec	urity		
		Whether Black Listed (Yes/ No)	CIDA Reg. C5 or above	business registration in western province	Bid Price without VAT as read out(Ref: Bid opening minutes) Rs.	Bid Validity 91 days	Signing the Form of Bid	Amount was included in the form of bid	Amount in word & figure indicated in summary is tallied.	Value Rs. M	Bank	Format	Validity Period of <mark>119 days</mark> ('08.05.2017.)	Value of in hand Projects (Rs)
1														
2														
3														
4														

3.2 <u>Detailed Evaluation Arithmetic/Multiplication mistakes;</u>

TEC has checked for arithmetical / multiplication mistakes in the rated BOQ have to determine whether they are arithmetically correct, and the observations are summarized in the Table 2.

Table 2. Summary of the bid prices after conducting the arithmetical check

No	Name of Bidder	Original Bid Amount Rs. (Without VAT)	Bid Amount after checking the Arithmetical mistakes Rs. (Without VAT)
1			
2			
3			
4			

3.3 <u>Detailed Evaluation on responsiveness check of Bid Documents to clause 4.2</u> <u>of bidding data (post qualification information)</u>

According to the bidding documents, the bidders shall have to fulfill the following qualifications in order to be eligible for contract award.

- 3.3.1 Experience in the field of Building Construction for a period of minimum 3 years
- 3.3.2 Documentary evidence to substantiate working capital; Liquid Assets and or credit facilities≥ Rs.5 Million

The bids were evaluated according to these requirements and results were tabulated and presented in the Table 3.

Table 3

No	Name of Bidder	Experience in the field of Building Construction	Credit facilities
1			
2			
3			
4			

Ιŧ	۱۸	/as	f∩ı	ını	d 1	h	at:
Iι	V١	ıası	IUI	un	u i	Ш	ıαι.

a) .

Hence, following responded Bids are considered as responsive.

3.4. Comparison of Substantially Responsive Bids

Ranks of each of these responsive bidders according to their corrected bid prices are presented in the Table 4.

Direct Construction Cost: - Rs. (without VAT)

Observation

Table 4: Comparison of Substantially responsive bids

No	Name of Bidder	Arithmetically corrected bid price Rs. (Without VAT)	Rank	% of the deviation of Engineer's Estimate
1				
2				
4				

4.0 TEC Recommendation for Contract Award.

TEC	recommend	to	award	the	Con	tract	to	the	lowest	bio	der	٠,
M/						for	the	evaluate	d bid	Amount	of	Rs.
		wi	thout VA	Γ.								

- i. Name of the Bidder:
- ii. Address: No. Bid Amount: Rs.
- iv. Subjective Conditions (if any):
- v. Any other comments :

.

TECHNICAL EVALUATION COMMITTEE

	Name	Capacity	Agree with the above decision/s (Yes/No)	Signature
1.		Chairman		
2.		Member		
3.		Membe		
4.		Member		
5.		Member		

Rev. No. 00

DOI: 2018.02.01

Annexures

Annexure 1- Letter of Invitation of Bid

Annexure 2- List of Bidders who had purchased the Bidding Documents

Annexure 3- Attendance Sheet of Bidders who participated for the Pre-bid Meeting

Annexure 4- Addendum No 1 issued on 25.08.2016 & Minutes of pre-bid meeting issued on 26.08.2016.

Annexure 5- Attendance Sheet at the Bid Opening

Annexure 6-

• .